SYNTHETIC EXAMPLE

Comprehensive Score for financial Toxicity - FACIT-COST

COST - presentation preview

CLIENT
John Doe

ADMINISTERED
Example date

RESPONSE MODE
Self-report

INSTRUMENT
COST

SOURCE
FACIT / de Souza and colleagues

CLINICAL ROLE
Financial-toxicity screening support for clinician review

What this report lays out

- Named instrument, version, and provenance
- Item-level response pattern without source wording
- Methodology, references, and clinical boundary
- Responsible clinician review rather than automated conclusions

CLINICIAN REVIEW REQUIRED

This document demonstrates Lirena's report design using fictional data. It does not represent a scored COST result, cannot establish a diagnosis, and must not be placed in a clinical record.

COST is not a diagnosis. A result cannot replace clinician-led assessment, history, examination, or investigation where those are required.

A report designed for clinical review

Clear structure, visible provenance, and an explicit boundary help a clinician review recorded evidence without mistaking a questionnaire for a conclusion.

REVIEW

COST is completed as a focused self-report and stored for clinician review. This public page does not collect answers or return a score.

CONTEXT

Published scoring conventions may exist in official materials. This public page does not independently verify scoring, cutoffs, or a production scoring rule. Use the official form and scoring instructions for the version you intend to use.

SOURCE

Catalogue information on the measure's purpose, source and administration requirements. Check the official administration guidance and suitability for your clinical purpose.

REPORT PRINCIPLE

Show the evidence, the version, and the boundary. Leave diagnosis and formulation with the clinician.

Item-level layout without source wording

This marketing sample uses invented item numbers and response labels only. It does not reproduce COST item wording, and the pattern below is not a real result.

ITEM	ILLUSTRATIVE SCALE POINT	FICTIONAL RESPONSE
1	4 of 5	**Often**
2	3 of 5	**Sometimes**
3	5 of 5	**Most days**
4	3 of 5	**Sometimes**
5	3 of 5	**Sometimes**
6	2 of 5	**A little**
7	1 of 5	**Not at all**
8	4 of 5	**Often**
9	4 of 5	**Often**
10	4 of 5	**Often**
11	1 of 5	**Not at all**
12	5 of 5	**Most days**
13	4 of 5	**Often**
14	5 of 5	**Most days**

Item numbers, scale points, and responses on this page are invented for layout demonstration. They are not this instrument's item set, scoring structure, or thresholds.

Source-led by design

Lirena separates published methodology, product implementation, and clinical approval. A citation does not by itself authorize administration or prove a software scoring rule.

INSTRUMENT

The Comprehensive Score for financial Toxicity asks about money strain linked to illness and treatment. Lower totals indicate greater financial toxicity. A published screen around 26 is metadata for clinician consideration. It does not determine benefits, billing, or treatment choice.

ADMINISTRATION

COST is completed as a focused self-report and stored for clinician review. This public page does not collect answers or return a score.

CLINICAL BOUNDARY

COST is not a diagnosis. A result cannot replace clinician-led assessment, history, examination, or investigation where those are required.

GOVERNANCE

Catalogue information on the measure's purpose, source and administration requirements. Check the official administration guidance and suitability for your clinical purpose.

SELECTED REFERENCES

1. FACIT COST measure
FACIT. Comprehensive Score for financial Toxicity (COST).

SOURCE AND VERIFICATION Confirm the official form with the source before any live workflow.